

SUMTER COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

SUBJECT: Adoption of FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14 Schedule of Capital Improvements - Sumter County Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element - 5:30 p.m. Public Hearing (Staff recommends approval).

REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14 Schedule of Capital Improvements - Sumter County Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element.

☐ Work Session (Report Only)
☒ Regular Meeting

DATE OF MEETING: 4/27/2010
☐ Special Meeting

CONTRACT: ☒ N/A

Effective Date: _____

Vendor/Entity: _____

Termination Date: _____

Managing Division / Dept: _____

Planning

BUDGET IMPACT:

☐ Annual
☐ Capital
☒ N/A

FUNDING SOURCE: _____

EXPENDITURE ACCOUNT: _____

HISTORY/FACTS/ISSUES:

Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Florida Statutes, requires Sumter County to adopt a financially feasible 5-year schedule of capital improvements for the Capital Improvements Element of the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan each fiscal year. This 5-year schedule is intended to demonstrate the County is able to maintain the adopted level of service for public facilities as adopted within the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan. The County has adopted level of service standards for Recreation, Roads, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, Sewer, and Public Schools. The 5-year schedule of capital improvements only covers these facilities with adopted level of service standards.

The 5-year schedule of capital improvements proposed for adoption covers the period of FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14. With the exception of a few Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) transportation projects (US 301, I-75, and SR 48) and Public School projects (School District's 2009/10 Work Program), the projects and revenues included in the proposed 5-year schedule are taken from the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) of the currently approved FY 2009/10 budget.

In addition to the 5-year schedule of capital improvements, support data is provided in the attached "Annual Concurrency Report Data & Analysis". This support data reviews and evaluates the need for capital projects or other planning activities to meet concurrency deficits.

If the Board adopts this 5-year schedule of capital improvements, then the Schedule will be sent to the Florida Department of Community Affairs (DCA) for a compliance determination.

SUMTER COUNTY ORDINANCE 2010-

AN ORDINANCE OF SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA, AMENDING THE SUMTER COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ELEMENT TO ADOPT THE FINANCIALLY FEASIBLE FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS (FY 2009/10-2013/14) AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 163.3177(3)(b)(1), FLORIDA STATUTES; SETTING FORTH THE AUTHORITY FOR THE AMENDMENT OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; SETTING FORTH THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE AMENDMENT; IDENTIFYING PLAN ELEMENTS AND SUB-ELEMENTS TO BE AMENDED; PROVIDING FOR INTERPRETATION; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE, PROVIDING FOR FILING WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE AND EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, Section 163.3161 et.seq., Fla. Stats. established the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Sumter County, Florida has adopted its Comprehensive Plan pursuant to the Act and has now determined that certain amendments to portions of the plan are needed; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.3187, Fla. Stats., allows amendments to be made to the adopted Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Fla. Stats., requires the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan's Capital Improvements Element and five-year schedule of capital improvements be updated annually; and

WHEREAS, Section 163.3177(3)(b)(2), Fla. Stats., provides for the adoption of the amendment to update the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan's Capital Improvements Element and five-year schedule of capital improvements update at a single adoption hearing by the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has provided for opportunity for public hearing after public notice, provisions for open discussion, communications programs, and consideration of and response to public comments concerning the proposed amendment to the Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the County invited written comment and public participation at the scheduled public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Board held a public hearing on the proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment on April 27, 2010, and considered the findings and advice of all interested parties submitting comments at the public hearing, and upon complete consideration and deliberation, approved the amendment to the Comprehensive Plan for adoption, and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the adoption of the amendment to the Comprehensive Plan is in the best interests of the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Sumter County, Florida.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Authority.

This Ordinance is adopted in compliance with, and pursuant to the Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act, Section 163.3161, et.seq., Fla. Stats..

Section 2. Purpose and Intent.

It is hereby declared that the purpose and intent of the adopted amendments is to provide a financially feasible five-year schedule of capital improvements (FY 2009/10-2013/14) as required by Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Florida Statutes. The amendment updates the five-year schedule of capital improvements of the Capital Improvements Element.

Section 3. Adoption of Amendments to Certain Elements of the Comprehensive Plan.

The Sumter County Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element's Five-Year Schedule of Capital Improvements is hereby amended as per the exhibit attached hereto (Exhibit "A"), and as amended, is the official Comprehensive Plan for the unincorporated area of Sumter County, Florida.

Section 4. Interpretation.

The language and provisions of this ordinance and the Comprehensive Plan, as amended, shall be construed in pari materia with Section 163.3161, et. seq., Fla. Stats. and Chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code. Definitions provided in Section 163.3161, et. seq., Fla. Stats. as they apply to interpretation of this ordinance are incorporated herein by reference as the same may from time to time be amended.

Section 5. Severability.

If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance is for any reason held by any court or other forum of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, the validity of the remaining portions of this ordinance shall continue in full force and effect.

Section 6. Effective Date.

The effective date of this plan amendment shall be the date a final order is issued by the Department of Community Affairs determining the adopted amendments to be in compliance in accordance with s. 163.3184(9) Fla. Stats., or until the Administration Commission issues a final order determining the adopted amendments to be in compliance in accordance with s. 163.3184(10) Fla. Stats. No development orders, development permits, or land uses dependent on these amendments may be issued or commence before it has become effective. If a final order of noncompliance is issued by the Administration Commission, these amendments may nevertheless be made effective by adoption of a resolution affirming its effective status, a copy of which resolution shall be sent to the Florida

Department of Community Affairs, Division of Community Planning, Plan Processing Team.

ADOPTED **27th** day of **April,** **2010**
this

ATTEST: **Gloria R. Hayward** **BOARD OF COUNTY**
Clerk and Auditor **COMMISSIONERS OF**
SUMTER COUNTY

By: _____ **By:** _____
Deputy Clerk **Doug Gilpin, Chair**

Exhibit “A”

Sumter County Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element 5-Year Schedule of Capital Improvements FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14

Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Florida Statutes, requires the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan’s Capital Improvements Element and five-year schedule of capital improvements to be updated and amended annually to be financially feasible.

**Sumter County Board of County Commissioners
April 27, 2010**

Table of Contents

Table 1: Recreation – Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 2: Transportation – Projects for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 3: Transportation – Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 4: Solid Waste - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 5: Drainage - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 6: Potable Water - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 7: Sewer - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Table 8: Public Schools - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

Index to Acronyms

Recreation Schedule of Improvements

FRDAP – Florida Recreation Development Assistance Grant

GF- General Fund

FDOT – Florida Department of Transportation

Transportation Schedule of Improvements and Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources

ST – Secondary Trust Local Funding Source

CTT – Community Transportation Trust Local Funding Source

PD&E – Project Development & Environment Study

PE – Project Engineering/Design

ROW – Right of Way Acquisition

Const – Construction

CEI – Construction, Engineering, and Inspection Support

Drainage Schedule of Improvements

CBIR – State Community Budget Issue Request Approved in 2007

CTT – County Transportation Trust Local Funding Source

Table 1

Recreation - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Project Description ⁽¹⁾ | | Revenue Source | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| Greenway Boat Ramp | Lake Panasoffkee | Local Boater/Vessel Registration | \$50,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Lake Okahumpka Phase III | Fishing/Observation Pier & Restrooms | Grant/Local Boater/Vessel Registration | \$0 | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Marsh Bend "Outlet Park" | Restrooms/Picnic Area | Grant/GF | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$155,000 | \$0 |
| Total Projects | | | \$50,000 | \$300,000 | \$0 | \$155,000 | \$0 |
| Balance Sheet and Revenues | | | | | | | |
| Cash Balance Forward | | | \$0 | \$27,000 | \$27,000 | \$27,000 | \$0 |
| General Fund | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$43,000 | \$0 |
| FRDAP Grant | | | \$0 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$112,000 | \$0 |
| FDOT Enhancement or Other Grant | | | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Boater/Vessel Registration | | | \$77,000 | \$150,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Other/Donations | | | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Less Project Projections | | | (\$50,000) | (\$300,000) | \$0 | (\$155,000) | \$0 |
| Balance | | | \$27,000 | \$27,000 | \$27,000 | \$27,000 | \$0 |

(1) Projects are not needed to maintain adopted level of service. Projects are for maintenance and general enhancement.

Table 2

Transportation Projects for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Road | Limits | Description | Revenue Source | Phase | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| C-468 | South of SR 44 to Turnpike | Widen to 4 lanes | ST | Total | \$2,700,000 | | | | |
| | | | | PE | \$1,200,000 | | | | |
| | | | | ROW | \$1,300,000 | \$153,000 | | | |
| C-470 E-Phase II | I-75 to the Lake Co. Line | Widen to 4 lanes | CTT | Total | \$409,000 | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | | |
| | | | | PD&E | \$409,000 | | | | |
| | | | | PE | | \$300,000 | \$300,000 | | |
| | | | | ROW | | | | | |
| CR 528 Phase I | CR 526A to SR 471 | Improved 2 lane | CTT | Total | \$389,000 | | | | |
| | | | | PE | | | | | |
| | | | | CEI | \$32,000 | | | | |
| | | | | Const | \$357,000 | | | | |
| C-462 PD&E Study | CR 209 to C-466A | PD&E | CTT | Total | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | | | |
| | | | | PD&E | \$150,000 | \$50,000 | | | |
| C-468 PD&E Study | US 301 to Turnpike | PD&E | CTT | Total | \$70,000 | \$151,000 | | | |
| | | | | PD&E | \$70,000 | \$151,000 | | | |
| C-466A Phase III | CR 139 to US 301 | Widen from 2 to 4 Lanes | CTT | Total | | | \$125,000 | \$200,000 | \$220,000 |
| | | | | PE | | | \$125,000 | \$100,000 | \$100,000 |
| | | | | ROW | | | | \$100,000 | \$120,000 |
| C-466 PD&E Study | CR 245 to US 301 | Add Lanes | CTT | Total | | | \$310,000 | | |
| | | | | PD&E | | | \$310,000 | | |
| Morse Blvd-Phase I | C-466 to Rio Gande Avenue | Resurface and restripe to facilitate 3 lane section (2 through lanes with turn lanes) | CTT | Total | \$613,000 | | | | |
| | | | | PE | | | | | |
| | | | | CEI | | | | | |
| | | | | Const | \$613,000 | | | | |
| Morse Blvd-Phase II | North of Rio Grande Avenue to El Camino Real Circle | Resurface and restripe to facilitate 3 lane section (2 through lanes with turn lanes) | CTT | Total | \$400,000 | | | | |
| | | | | PE | | | | | |
| | | | | CEI | \$39,000 | | | | |
| | | | | Const | \$361,000 | | | | |

| Road | Limits | Description | Revenue Source | Phase | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| US 301 | North of CR 204 to Marion Co. Line | Widen from 2 to 4 Lanes | FDOT | Total | \$20,268,286 | \$1,371,870 | \$1,436,957 | \$1,371,870 | \$1,371,870 |
| | | | | PE | \$5,266 | | | | |
| | | | | ROW | \$4,411,694 | | | | |
| | | | | R/U | \$9,794,421 | | | | |
| | | | | Const | \$3,955,279 | | | | |
| | | | | Admin | \$1,371,870 | \$1,371,870 | \$1,371,870 | \$1,371,870 | \$1,371,870 |
| | | | | CEI | \$729,756 | | \$65,087 | | |
| US 301 | From North of CR 232 to North of NE 110 Road | Widen from 2 to 4 lanes | FDOT and Road Impact Fees | Total | \$8,866,515 | \$3,150,000 | \$3,500,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |
| Impact Fee Reimbursement to The Villages per Agreement | | | | PE | \$14,768 | | | | |
| | | | | ROW | \$15,878,714 | | | | |
| | | | | Const | | \$3,150,000 | \$3,500,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$3,000,000 |
| CR 466A | Buena Vista Blvd to CR 139 | Widen from 2 to 4 lanes | Road Impact Fees | Total | \$9,062,000 | \$1,016,000 | | | |
| Impact Fee Reimbursement to The Villages per Agreement | | | | ROW | | | | | |
| | | | | Const | \$9,062,999 | \$1,016,000 | | | |
| CR 139 | From 44A to C-466A | Widen from 2 to 4 lanes | Road Impact Fees | Total | \$8,814,000 | | | | |
| Impact Fee Reimbursement to The Villages per Agreement | | | | ROW | | | | | |
| | | | | Const | \$8,814,000 | | | | |
| CR 462 | US 301 to C 466A | Widen from 2 to 4 lanes | Road Impact Fees | Total | \$1,112,000 | \$425,000 | \$5,939,000 | | |
| Impact Fee Reimbursement to The Villages per Agreement | | | | ROW | \$1,112,000 | \$425,000 | | | |
| | | | | Const | | | \$5,939,000 | | |
| C-468 | Turnpike Interchange | New Turnpike Interchange | Road Impact Fees | Total | | | | \$12,149,000 | |
| Impact Fee Reimbursement to The Villages per Agreement | | | | ROW | | | | | |
| | | | | Const | | | | \$12,149,000 | |
| C-48 Reimbursement | I-75 to CR 616 | Reimbursement to Secondary Trust for 4 laning | Road Impact Fees | Reimbursement | \$13,329 | \$16,000 | \$18,000 | \$20,000 | \$22,000 |
| US 441/US 27 | Buenos Aires Blvd to Marion Co. Line | Add lanes and reconstruct | FDOT | Total | | \$35,000 | | \$855,000 | |
| | | | | Env | | \$35,000 | | | |
| | | | | PE | | | | \$855,000 | |
| US 441/US 27 | NE 136th Ave to Marion County | Add lanes and rehabilitate | FDOT | Total | | \$2,136,269 | | | |
| | | | | Const | | \$1,930,756 | | | |
| | | | | CEI | | \$205,513 | | | |
| I-75 | Hernando Co. Line to C 470 | Add lanes and rehabilitate | FDOT | Total | \$60,715 | | | | \$894,494 |
| | | | | PE | \$60,715 | | | | |
| | | | | ROW | | | | | \$894,494 |
| I-75 | C-470 to Turnpike | Add lanes and rehabilitate | FDOT | Total | \$388,688 | | | | \$485,976 |
| | | | | PE | \$388,688 | | | | |
| | | | | ROW | | | | | \$485,976 |
| SR 48 | I-75 to CR 475 | Add lanes and rehabilitate | FDOT | Total | \$41,656 | | \$1,237,030 | \$1,280,326 | |
| | | | | PE | \$41,656 | | | | |
| | | | | ROW | | | \$1,237,030 | \$1,280,326 | |

Table 3
Transportation - Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14

| County Transportation Trust (CTT) and Secondary Trust (ST) Balance Sheet and Revenue | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Balance Sheet | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
| Cash Balance Forward | \$9,340,125 | \$3,392,190 | \$3,200,291 | \$1,181,530 | \$1,959,617 |
| Revenue Projections | \$11,046,318 | \$7,310,470 | \$6,988,443 | \$7,087,615 | \$7,189,167 |
| Less Project & Equipment Projections(1) | (\$10,997,085) | (\$1,923,698) | (\$3,584,574) | (\$888,889) | (\$1,261,914) |
| Less Operating Budget | (\$5,997,168) | (\$5,578,671) | (\$5,422,630) | (\$5,420,639) | (\$5,420,826) |
| Balance | \$3,392,190 | \$3,200,291 | \$1,181,530 | \$1,959,617 | \$2,466,044 |
| Revenue Sources | | | | | |
| Ad Valorem | \$621,944 | \$653,041 | \$685,693 | \$719,978 | \$755,977 |
| Delinquent Ad Valorem Taxes | \$1,140 | \$1,163 | \$1,186 | \$1,209 | \$1,233 |
| Ninth Cent Fuel Tax | \$618,192 | \$630,556 | \$643,167 | \$656,030 | \$669,151 |
| Local Option Fuel Tax | \$3,498,508 | \$3,533,493 | \$3,568,828 | \$3,604,516 | \$3,640,561 |
| Constitutional Gas Tax/20% Portion | \$266,002 | \$266,002 | \$266,002 | \$266,002 | \$266,002 |
| County Fuel Tax/7th Cent | \$586,513 | \$586,513 | \$586,513 | \$586,513 | \$586,513 |
| Constitutional Gas Tax/80% Portion | \$1,064,009 | \$1,074,649 | \$1,085,396 | \$1,096,250 | \$1,107,212 |
| Maintenance Funding - Grants | \$4,248,666 | \$418,660 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Transfer from Road Impact Fees | \$13,329 | \$15,230 | \$17,131 | \$19,032 | \$20,933 |
| Other Revenue | \$128,015 | \$131,163 | \$134,527 | \$138,085 | \$141,585 |
| Net Revenue Projections | \$11,046,318 | \$7,310,470 | \$6,988,443 | \$7,087,615 | \$7,189,167 |
| Road Impact Fee Balance Sheet and Revenue | | | | | |
| Balance Sheet | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
| Cash Balance Forward | \$23,071,385 | \$16,709,429 | \$24,213,528 | \$29,372,401 | \$30,279,337 |
| Revenue Projections | \$13,208,000 | \$13,868,400 | \$14,561,820 | \$16,018,002 | \$14,561,820 |
| Interest Earnings | \$66,373 | \$67,699 | \$69,053 | \$70,434 | \$71,844 |
| Less Other Expenses | (\$555,000) | (\$1,825,000) | (\$15,000) | (\$12,500) | (\$10,000) |
| Less Project Projections | (\$19,081,329) | (\$4,607,000) | (\$9,457,000) | (\$15,169,000) | (\$3,022,000) |
| Balance | \$16,709,429 | \$24,213,528 | \$29,372,401 | \$30,279,337 | \$41,881,001 |
| FDOT Balance Sheet and Revenue | | | | | |
| Balance Sheet | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
| Cash Balance Forward | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Revenue Projections | \$22,264,801 | \$47,796 | \$3,768,726 | \$20,259,805 | \$20,259,805 |
| Less Project Projections | (\$22,264,801) | (\$47,796) | (\$3,768,726) | (\$20,259,805) | (\$20,259,805) |
| Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FDOT FY 08/99 to FY 12/13 Work Program | \$22,264,801 | \$47,796 | \$3,768,726 | \$20,259,805 | \$20,259,805 |

(1) Project projections include projects in five-year schedule and other maintenance projects not included in five-year schedule.

Table 4

Solid Waste - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Project Description | Location | Revenue Source | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|---------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| No Projects in 5-Year Schedule | | | | | | | |
| | | Total Projects | | | | | |
| | | Balance Sheet and Revenues | | | | | |
| | | Small County Solid Waste Grant | | | | | |
| | | ACMS Agreement | | | | | |
| | | Less Project Projections | | | | | |
| | | Balance | | | | | |

Table 5

Drainage - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Project Description | Revenue Source | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Big Prairie and Gant Lake Watershed Management Plan (L787) | CBIR & Basin Board | \$477,000 | | | | |
| | Total Projects | \$477,000 | | | | |
| | | Balance Sheet and Revenues | | | | |
| | | Cash Balance Forward | \$441,837 | | | |
| | | Interest | \$2,141 | | | |
| | | CBIR (2007) | \$477,000 | | | |
| | | Less Project Projections | (\$477,000) | | | |
| | | Balance | \$443,978 | | | |

Table 6

Potable Water - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Project Description | Revenue Source | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| NONE | N/A | | | | | |
| Total Projects | | | | | | |
| Balance Sheet and Revenues | | | | | | |
| Cash Balance Forward | | | | | | |
| Less Project Projections | | | | | | |
| Balance | | | | | | |

Table 7

Sewer - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Project Description | Revenue Source | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| NONE | N/A | | | | | |
| Total Projects | | | | | | |
| Balance Sheet and Revenues | | | | | | |
| Cash Balance Forward | | | | | | |
| Less Project Projections | | | | | | |
| Balance | | | | | | |

Table 8

Public Schools - Projects, Balance Sheet and Revenue Sources for FY 09/10 to FY 13/14 Schedule of Improvements

| Project Description | Revenue Source | FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 11/12 | FY 12/13 | FY 13/14 |
|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14 Sumter School District Work Program - Adopted September 2009 | School District | \$5,145,791 | \$4,850,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | \$3,527,200 |
| Total Projects | | | | | | |
| Balance Sheet and Revenues | | | | | | |
| Revenues | | \$7,133,721 | \$5,858,275 | \$2,381,776 | \$3,087,748 | \$4,249,934 |
| Less Project Projections | | (\$5,145,791) | (\$4,850,000) | (\$1,000,000) | (\$1,000,000) | (\$3,527,200) |
| Balance | | \$1,987,930 | \$1,008,275 | \$1,381,776 | \$2,087,748 | \$722,734 |

**Sumter County
Comprehensive Plan
Capital Improvements Element**

**Annual Concurrency Report
Data & Analysis**

5-Year Schedule of Capital Improvements

FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14

**Sumter County Board of County Commissioners
April 27, 2010**

Introduction

Section 163.3177(3)(b)(1), Florida Statutes, mandates the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan's Capital Improvements Element and five-year schedule of capital improvements to be updated each year.

In order to meet this mandate, Sumter County prepared this annual concurrency report, which includes the required data and analysis to support the adoption of the financially feasible five-year schedule of capital improvements. The public facilities required for concurrency within the adopted Sumter County Comprehensive Plan include: Recreation, Roads, Solid Waste, Potable Water, Sewer, Drainage, and Public Schools.

This concurrency report is organized into a separate section for each of the public facilities. Each section includes a brief overview of the public facility service, an analysis of meeting adopted level of service standards, and a finding of concurrency deficits to be addressed.

Recreation

The Sumter County Comprehensive Plan contains adopted level of service standards for specific recreation facility types. In addition, there are separate adopted level of service standards for recreation facilities within The Villages DRI. The different level of service standards for The Villages DRI is the result of the adoption of The Villages DRI as a sector plan into the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan.

Because The Villages DRI recreation level of service standards are tied to development orders and approved master development plans, The Villages DRI is bound to provide the recreation facilities as provided for in the development orders and approved master plans. These recreation facilities required within the development orders and master development plans correspond to the adopted recreation level of service standards in the Sumter County Comprehensive Plan for The Villages DRI. Consequently, no additional analysis is required regarding recreation facility level of service standards within The Villages DRI.

The recreation level of service standards and needs for the unincorporated population, outside of The Villages DRI, are as follows:

Recreation Level of Service Analysis

| Facility | Standard | Inventory | Need | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|------|-----|------|-----|
| | | | 2009 | +/- | 2014 | +/- |
| Baseball ⁽¹⁾ | 2,500 | 19 | 10 | 9 | 6 | 13 |
| Basketball | 2,500 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 9 |
| Boat Ramps (2 lineal feet) ⁽²⁾ | 1,000 | 100 | 25 | 75 | 15 | 85 |
| Golf | 25,000 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Game Rooms ⁽²⁾ | 10,000 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Handball | 20,000 | 0 | 1 | (1) | 1 | (1) |
| Hiking | 10,000 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Multi-use Rooms ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 4,000 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 12 |
| Neighborhood Centers ⁽²⁾ | 25,000 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| Playgrounds (Ages 3-12) | 300 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 8 |
| Shuffleboard Courts (Ages 60+) | 1,000 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 2 |
| Softball ⁽¹⁾ | 2,000 | 19 | 12 | 7 | 8 | 11 |
| Tennis | 2,000 | 3 | 12 | (9) | 8 | (5) |
| Volleyball | 10,000 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 |

(1) Baseball and Softball fields considered one facility

(2) Boat Ramps - 1 boat ramp = 10 lineal feet

(3) Game rooms, multi-use rooms, neighborhood centers
all provided in same facilities

(4) Multiuse rooms also include public schools

An interesting aspect of the recreation level of service analysis is the needs actually reduce between 2009 and 2014. This reduction in need is a result of the growth of the County's future population being focused within the cities, through annexation, and within The Villages DRI.

The County is able to meet these needs, with a few exceptions discussed below, through the County's existing recreation facilities and through existing interlocal agreements with the Sumter County School District, City of Wildwood, City of Webster, and City of Center Hill, which provide for the joint use and coordination of recreation facilities.

The recreation level of service analysis shows there is an existing deficit for handball courts and tennis courts. The handball deficit remains at 1 and the tennis court deficit reduces from 9 to 5 from FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14. However, these deficit are addressed through the adoption of Interlocal Service Boundary Agreements with the cities of Wildwood, Center Hill, and Webster. The primary intent of these agreements, related to parks and recreation, is for the cities to focus resources on the active park facilities while the County focuses on large regional passive park facilities. As part of the Evaluation & Appraisal Report based amendments, the County must amend the recreation level of service standards to reflect the coordination of parks and recreation services with the cities. Consequently, there are no capital projects required to address these deficits. However, the programmed recreation capital projects are for recreation enhancements and maintenance and not level of service. The recreation level of service deficits are being addressed through interlocal coordination between the cities and County.

Roads

Sumter County maintains a road concurrency database to track concurrency on roads within the County's concurrency network. This concurrency database is based on a growth rate traffic model prepared by Tindale-Oliver & Associates and in coordination with the Lake-Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The road concurrency database is updated each year to reflect changes in growth rates.

Sumter County is fortunate to have only a few roads with concurrency issues. Based on the concurrency database, the following roadways do not meet level of service standards within the FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14 period:

Florida Turnpike – US 301 to I-75
I-75 – Hernando County to Marion County
US 441 – Marion County to Buenos Aires Blvd.
US 301 – SR 471 to C-470 E
Morse Boulevard – North of C-466
SR 48 – I-75 to Main Street
SR 50 – Hernando County to Lake County

With the exception of the Morse Boulevard deficiency, the level of service deficiencies are primarily related to regional traffic impacts that are beyond the regulatory control of Sumter County.

The FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14 capital plan contains the following projects to address these level of service deficiencies:

Florida Turnpike – US 301 to I-75:

The Florida Turnpike Enterprise does not currently show any capacity improvements to this segment of the Florida Turnpike in its current work program. However, the County has two projects within the five-year capital plan that will provide some relief. These projects include: C-468 widening from Florida Turnpike to SR 44 and a new half-interchange at C-468 and Florida Turnpike (alternative route for traffic for northbound Florida Turnpike traffic to travel to northeast Sumter County – The Villages; and relieve traffic from the Florida Turnpike and I-75 junction).

I-75 – Hernando County to Marion County:

Preliminary Engineering and Right-of-way acquisition by FDOT in FY 2009/10 through FY 2013/14 are programmed. A cooperative strategy between the County, MPO, and FDOT must be developed to address level of service concerns on I-75. Future amendments to the capital plan may be necessary to reflect the results of the strategy.

US 441/27 – Marion County to Buenos Aires Blvd.:

Preliminary Engineering for expanding the roads to six lanes is programmed by FDOT in FY 2012/13. In addition, FDOT has programmed the construction of lane additions and resurfacing from NE 136th Ave. to Marion County.

US 301 – SR 471 to C-470 E:

This segment of US 301 is included as part of the transportation mitigation plans for the Landstone and Wildwood Springs Developments of Regional Impact located within the City of Wildwood. These DRIs are in the process of negotiating transportation proportionate share agreements with the County, FDOT, and the City.

SR 48 – I-75 to Main Street:

In FY 2011/12 and 2012/13, FDOT programmed funding for right-of-way acquisition for widening this corridor to four lanes.

Morse Blvd – North of C-466:

The County recently completed the first phase of improvements to Morse Blvd. north of C-466. These improvements provide for enhanced capacity on the corridor through the construction of turn lanes and other operational improvements. The second phase of improvements is programmed by the County in FY 2009/10. Once all improvements are complete on Morse Blvd., the County will reassess the capacity of the corridor using a more refined analysis of its operational characteristics. In addition, The Villages has nearly completed extensions of CR 139, Buena Vista Blvd., and Morse Blvd. to the south to SR 44. Over the next five years, as the The Villages develops additional commercial and office centers south of C-466A (i.e. Brownwood and Pineallas Plaza), it is expected that some of the transportation demand on Morse Blvd. north of C-466 will be redirected to travel south on Morse Blvd. to SR 44.

SR 50 – Hernando County to Lake County:

At this time, there are no programmed improvements to this corridor. This corridor traverses a very rural and sparsely developed area of the county. The area around the intersection of SR 50 and SR 471 is the most developed section of this corridor.

The Transportation capital plan is consistent with the MPO's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and FDOT's adopted work program.

Solid Waste

Sumter County only operates a solid waste collection transfer station. The County has an existing contract with a private provider to transport and dispose of the collected solid waste. Consequently, no capital projects are required within the five-year capital plan to maintain level of service.

Potable Water and Sewer

Sumter County provides no central potable water or sewer services. All central potable water and sewer services are provided by either the cities or private utilities. For projects connecting to either city or private utility systems, the County requires documentation from the utility provider that capacity is currently available within the utility system to serve the proposed project. Consequently, no capital projects are required in the five-year capital plan to maintain level of service.

Drainage

The adopted level of service standards for drainage references specific permitting criteria. Consequently, concurrency for drainage is evaluated on a project by project basis through the development review process.

The five-year capital plan includes watershed management plans for Big Prairie, Gant Lake and Jumper Creek Watersheds. These watershed management plans are existing cooperative funding agreements between the County, Southwest Florida Water Management District, and the Withlacoochee Basin Board. These watershed management plans will assist in the regulatory implementation of the drainage concurrency standards.

Public Schools

On June 24, 2008, Sumter County adopted the Public Schools Facility Element and related amendments to the Intergovernmental Coordination and Capital Improvements Elements to implement school concurrency and to incorporate the current, at the time, five-year work program for the Sumter County School District. In September 2009, the Sumter County School District approved their five-year work program for FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14, which is adopted by reference into the County's FY 2009/10 to FY 2013/14 capital plan.